

**KINGS LAW REPORTS**  
**(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)**

**(2000) KLR VOL 4 PART 101 pp. 1049 - 1260**  
**APRIL 2000**

**Dedicated to the King of kings**

**O. O. NOEL ESQ. Chief Editor**

**INDEX OF CASES REPORTED**

**APRIL CONTD.**

8. Pavex International Company Nigeria Ltd v. International Bank For West Africa Ltd. p. 1049
9. Alli v. Alesinloye p. 1111
10. Obasuyi v. Business Ventures Limited p. 1173
11. Iloabuchi v. Ebigbo p. 1205

**COURT OF APPEAL CASE**

Cooperative and Commerce Bank v. Onyekwelu p. 1247 CA

ii **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2000) 4 KLR**

**ACTIONS** - Consolidation - Common question of law or fact - Where there was no question of law or fact common to all the cases - The order of consolidation was erroneously made. Iloabuchi v. Ebigbo p. 1205

**ACTIONS** - Consolidation - Counter claim - Where in two suits neither of which can be said to be a counter claim to the other - Or that a third party proceedings arose - It was wrong to consolidate the two suits. Iloabuchi v. Ebigbo p. 1205

**ACTIONS** - Consolidation - Evidence - The consolidation of two actions does not render the evidence tendered in one ipso facto evidence in the other. Iloabuchi v. Ebigbo p. 1205

**ACTIONS** - Consolidation - Irregularity - Where the learned trial judge consolidated the suits suo motu and without hearing the parties on it - And also settled the issues suo motu with out reference to them - It cannot be said that a miscarriage of justice was not occasioned. Iloabuchi v. Ebigbo p. 1205

**ACTIONS** - Consolidation - Order of - Circumstances under which consolidation may be ordered. Iloabuchi v. Ebigbo p. 1205

**ACTIONS** - Consolidation - Order of consolidation - Refusal of - Circumstances that will militate against consolidation of two or more pending actions. Iloabuchi v. Ebigbo p. 1205

**ACTIONS** - Consolidation - Suit not pending before the trial judge - It was wrong to consolidate it with the other two suits properly pending before him. Iloabuchi v. Ebigbo p. 1205

**ACTIONS** - Deconsolidation - Where an order of consolidation is made - And it turns out at the trial that the order should not have been made - The actions should be deconsolidated. Iloabuchi v. Ebigbo p. 1205

**ACTIONS** - Land law - Previous proceeding - That is not between same parties - Is usually inadmissible - But such non inter partes previous judgment - Is admissible in proof of acts of possession - And can operate as estoppel by conduct or standing by. *Alli v. Alesinloye* p. 1111

**ACTIONS** - Reliefs - Reformulation of by the court - It is not competent for the judge suo motu to make a case for the parties - Other than that which was set up by them. *Pavex Int. Co. Ltd. v. IBWA* p. 1049

**APPEALS** - Appellate Court - Record of appeal - It is the duty of an appellate court to consider - All the pieces of evidence forming part of the record before it. *Pavex Int. Co. Ltd. v. IBWA* p. 1049

**APPEALS** - Findings of fact - Were wrongfully disturbed by the Court of Appeal - As there was no basis for doing so. *Alli v. Alesinloye* p. 1111

**APPEALS** - Issue - Order of consolidation - Made by the trial judge suo motu - And without giving the parties an opportunity to address the court on it - The issue can be raised on appeal. *Iloabuchi v. Ebigbo* p. 1205

**APPEALS** - Issues - Ground of appeal - Issue that was intrinsic - To determining whether the order being challenged was properly made - The issue was properly raised without the need for a ground of appeal on it. *Iloabuchi v. Ebigbo* p. 1205

**APPEALS** - Judgment - Interlocutory order - From which there has been no appeal - This does not preclude the order being questioned in an appeal against the final judgment - By virtue of order 3 rule 22 of the Court of Appeal rules. *Iloabuchi v. Ebigbo* p. 1205

**APPEALS** - Judgment - Mistakes - Only mistakes that affected the decision appealed against - Will result in the appeal being allowed. *Pavex Int. Co. Ltd. v. IBWA* p. 1049

iv **INDEX OF SUBJECT MATTER IN (2000) 4 KLR**

**APPEALS** - Matters relating to appeal - Motions - Once an appeal has been brought to the Court of Appeal - All matters relating to the appeal must be agitated at that court and not the high court. Pavex Int. Co. Ltd. v. IBWA p. 1049

**COURTS** - Appeals - Jurisdiction - Where a trial court has been declared as lacking jurisdiction by the Court of Appeal - Then whatever orders made by the trial court - Cannot be varied or further orders made thereon by the Court of Appeal. Pavex Int. Co. Ltd. v. IBWA p. 1049

**COURTS** - Causes and proceedings - High Court Law of Lagos State s.60 - By that provision all civil and criminal causes and proceedings in the High Court - Shall so far as is practicable - Be heard and disposed of by a single judge. Pavex Int. Co. Ltd. v. IBWA p. 1049

**COURTS** - Motions - High Court Law of Lagos State - Proviso of s. 60 - To excuse the judge from being assigned to hear the motions in the instant case - It must be shown that it was not convenient and practicable - For the judge to hear the motions. Pavex Int. Co. Ltd. v. IBWA p. 1049

**COURTS** - Suo motu raising of issue - That was not covered by any ground of appeal - Was wrongful and occasioned a miscarriage of justice - The issue so raised will be struck out. Alli v. Alesinloye p. 1111

**DAMAGES** - Special damages - Mitigation of loss - Suffered due to the negligence of the defendant - It is always expected of the plaintiff to mitigate the loss. Obasuyi v. Business Ventures Limited p. 1173

**DAMAGES** - Special damages - Unjustified award - Claim for 262 days for loss of use - Is most unreasonable - And the award of damages for that is unjustified. Obasuyi v. Business Ventures Limited p. 1173

**EVIDENCE** - Exhibits - Admissions and findings on them - That were supported by oral evidence - Will not vitiate the judgment - Even if such

admissions were wrongful. *Alli v. Alesinloye* p. 1111

**LAND LAW** - Damages and perpetual injunction - Awarded by the trial court - Was proper - And the Court of Appeal was wrong in interfering therewith. *Alli v. Alesinloye* p. 1111

**LAND LAW** - Title - Appeal - Grantor's title - Appellants pleaded and proved their grantor's title - Court of Appeal erred in finding against them on this ground. *Alli v. Alesinloye* p. 1111

**LAND LAW** - Title - Evidence of traditional historical fact - Must not only be given by members of the immediate family of the land owners - In order to be admissible. *Alli v. Alesinloye* p. 1111

**LAND LAW** - Title - Grantor's title - Evidence towards establishing it - Must not come from the grantor's family - As a party is only bound to call relevant evidence - But not bound to call a particular witness. *Alli v. Alesinloye* p. 1111

**LAND LAW** - Title - Grantor's title - Where acquired by settlement - Plaintiff need not establish any acquisition by their predecessor's grantor. *Alli v. Alesinloye* p. 1111

**LAND LAW** - Title - Traditional evidence - Party relying on it - Is bound to plead and prove the grantor's title. *Alli v. Alesinloye* p. 1111

**LAND LAW** - Traditional evidence and acts of possession - Not seriously challenged under cross examination - Was rightly accepted - And there are recent facts of acts of possession - Which support the traditional evidence. *Alli v. Alesinloye* p. 1111

**LAND LAW** - Traditional history - Conflict therein - Leads to testing the history by recent facts. *Alli v. Alesinloye* p. 1111

**NATURAL JUSTICE** - Fair hearing - Bias - Likelihood of - Where the judge had no jurisdiction in the matter - The question whether there was likelihood of bias - Is superfluous. Pavex Int. Co. Ltd. v. IBWA p. 1049

**PRACTICE & PROCEDURE** - Service of process - Deputy Sheriff - Is the statutory agent for the services of all court processes. Pavex Int. Co. Ltd. v. IBWA p. 1049

**STAY OF EXECUTION** - Erring respondent - Pendency of application - Vaswani case - Disciplinary power of the court - How to bring to bear on an erring respondent. Pavex Int. Co. Ltd. v. IBWA p. 1049

### **COURT OF APPEAL**

**CONTRACTS** - Frustration - How it occurs in law - The principle assumes that the frustrating event - Was not caused by the fault of either party. C.C.B v. Onyekwelu p. 1247 CA

**CONTRACTS** - Frustration - Mere deposition in pleadings without evidence - Is not enough. C.C.B v. Onyekwelu p. 1247 CA

**JURISDICTION** - Stare decisis - Federal High Court - Damages for wrongful dismissal under Company and Allied Matters Decree - Following the Yalaju-Amaye case - The Federal High Court has jurisdiction. C.C.B v. Onyekwelu p. 1247 CA

**MASTER & SERVANT** - Frustration of contract - Termination of a worker's appointment - Cannot result in frustration of the contract. C.C.B v. Onyekwelu p. 1247 CA

**MASTER & SERVANT** - Frustration - Wrongful termination of appointment - That the company finds it difficult to continue in business - Cannot ground the plea of frustration. C.C.B v. Onyekwelu p. 1247 CA

**PLEADINGS** - Admission - Public company - Admission that appellant is a public company in its statement of defence - Cannot be denied by counsel. C.C.B v. Onyekwelu p. 1247 CA

**PRACTICE & PROCEDURE** - Pleadings - Address of counsel - Facts that are not duly pleaded - Cannot be introduced during address. C.C.B v. Onyekwelu p. 1247 CA

## **INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES**

Company and Allied Matters Decree No. 91 of 1990 ss. 296, 36(5), 37, 624, 625 (1) C.C.B v. Onyekwelu p. 1247 CA

Constitution (Suspension and Modification) Decree No. 107 of 1993 s. 230 (1) (e) C.C.B v. Onyekwelu p. 1247 CA

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria, 1979, S. 221 Iloabuchi v. Ebigbo p. 1205

Court of Appeal Act, 1976, s. 25 (2) (a) Iloabuchi v. Ebigbo p. 1205; s. 166 Pavex Int. Co. Ltd. v. IBWA p. 1049

Court of Appeal Rules, Ord 3 r 6 Iloabuchi v. Ebigbo p. 1205

High Court Law of Eastern Nigeria, S. 46 (i) Iloabuchi v. Ebigbo p. 1205

High Court Law of Lagos State; s. 60 Court of Appeal Rules, 1981 (as amended); O.3 rr 3, 5, and 23. Pavex Int. Co. Ltd. v. IBWA p. 1049

High Court Rules of Eastern Nigeria, Ords, 11 r. 7, 32 r. 1 Iloabuchi v. Ebigbo p. 1205

Supreme Court Act, s. 24 Pavex Int. Co. Ltd. v. IBWA p. 1049

Supreme Court Rules; O. VII r 87 Pavex Int. Co. Ltd. v. IBWA p. 1049